

TRIANGULABLE LOCALLY NILPOTENT DERIVATIONS IN DIMENSION THREE

MOULAY A. BARKATOU, HASSAN EL HOUARI, AND M'HAMMED EL KAHOU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give an algorithm to recognize triangulable locally nilpotent derivations in dimension three. In case the given derivation is triangulable, our method produces a coordinate system in which it exhibits a triangular form.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a commutative field of characteristic zero, $\mathcal{K}^{[n]}$ be the ring of polynomials in n variables with coefficients in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]})$ be the group of $\mathcal{K}$ -automorphisms of $\mathcal{K}^{[n]}$. Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}^{[n]}$, i.e., $\mathcal{K}^{[n]} = \mathcal{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then any automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]})$ is uniquely determined by the images $\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n)$. The *affine subgroup* of $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]}, \underline{x})$ with respect to $\underline{x}$ is defined as

$$\text{Af}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]}, \underline{x}) = \{\sigma ; \deg(\sigma(x_i)) = 1, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

The *triangular subgroup* of $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]})$ with respect to $\underline{x}$ is defined as

$$\text{BA}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]}, \underline{x}) = \{\sigma ; \sigma(x_i) = a_i x_i + f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}), a_i \in \mathcal{K}^*, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

The *tame subgroup* of $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]})$ with respect to $\underline{x}$ is the subgroup generated by affine and triangular automorphisms, and is denoted by $\text{TA}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]}, \underline{x})$. Automorphisms which belong to $\text{TA}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]}, \underline{x})$ are called *tame*, and those which are not tame are called *wild*.

Automorphisms of $\mathcal{K}^{[2]}$ are well understood. They are all tame and $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[2]})$ is the free amalgamated product of $\text{Af}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[2]}, x_1, x_2)$ and $\text{BA}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[2]}, x_1, x_2)$ along their intersection [20, 23]. But so far $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]})$ remains a big mystery for $n \geq 3$, and it is only recently that the existence of wild automorphisms was established [28].

In order to understand the nature of $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]})$ it is natural to investigate algebraic group actions on the affine n -space over $\mathcal{K}$. Actions of the algebraic group $(\mathcal{K}, +)$ are commonly called *algebraic G_a -actions*, and are of the form $\exp(t\mathcal{X})_{t \in \mathcal{K}}$ where $\mathcal{X}$ is a locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of the polynomial ring $\mathcal{K}^{[n]}$.

A locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{K}[\underline{x}]$ is called *triangular* in the coordinate system $\underline{x}$ if for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have $\mathcal{X}(x_i) \in \mathcal{K}[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}]$. This is equivalent to the fact that its one-parameter group $\exp(t\mathcal{X})_{t \in \mathcal{K}}$ is a subgroup of $\text{BA}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{K}^{[n]}, \underline{x})$. The $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation $\mathcal{X}$ is called *triangulable* if there exists a $\mathcal{K}$ -automorphism σ of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14R10, 13P10.

Key words and phrases. Triangulable G_a -action, Plinth ideal, Coordinate, Functional decomposition.

$\mathcal{K}^{[n]}$ such that $\sigma\mathcal{X}\sigma^{-1}$ is triangular in the coordinate system $\underline{x}$, i.e., there exists a coordinate system $\underline{u}$ in which $\mathcal{X}$ has a triangular form.

A natural question is to decide whether a given locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation is triangulable. Bass was the first to give in [3] an example of non-triangulable locally nilpotent derivation in dimension 3. Bass' construction was generalized by Popov in [26] to obtain non-triangulable locally nilpotent derivations in any dimension $n \geq 3$. A necessary condition of triangulability, based on the structure of the variety of fixed points, is also given in [26]. However, this condition is not sufficient as proven in [9]. Other criteria of triangulability in dimension 3 are given in [17, 10, 9, 16]. But it is nowhere near obvious to make them working in an algorithmic way.

The aim of the present paper is to develop an algorithm to check whether a given locally nilpotent derivation $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ is triangulable, and if so to find a coordinate system u, v, w in which $\mathcal{X}$ has a triangular form.

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we recall the basic facts on locally nilpotent derivations and coordinates to be used in the paper. In section 3 we give an algorithmic characterization of rank two locally nilpotent derivations in dimension three. A triangulability criterion is given in section 4, while section 5 contains the main ingredients that make this criterion work in an algorithmic way. Computational examples top off the paper.

2. NOTATION AND BASIC FACTS

Throughout this paper $\mathcal{K}$ is a commutative field of characteristic zero, all the considered rings are commutative of characteristic zero with unit and all the considered derivations are nonzero. A derivation of a $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called a $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation if it satisfies $\mathcal{X}(a) = 0$ for any $a \in \mathcal{K}$.

2.1. Coordinates. A polynomial $f \in \mathcal{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is called a *coordinate* if there exists a list of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$ such that $\mathcal{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathcal{K}[f, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}]$. A list $f_1, \dots, f_r$ of polynomials, with $r \leq n$, is called a *system of coordinates* if there exists a list $f_{r+1}, \dots, f_n$ of polynomials such that $\mathcal{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathcal{K}[f_1, \dots, f_n]$. A system of coordinates of length n will be called a *coordinate system*.

The Abhyankar-Moh Theorem [1] states that a polynomial f in $\mathcal{K}[x, y]$ is a coordinate if and only if $\mathcal{K}[x, y]/f$ is $\mathcal{K}$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}^{[1]}$. In the case of three variables we have the following result proved by Kaliman in [21] for the case $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and extended to the case of arbitrary commutative fields of characteristic zero in [11].

Theorem 2.1. *Let f be a polynomial in $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ and assume that for all but finitely many $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ the $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]/(f - \alpha)$ is $\mathcal{K}$ -isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}^{[2]}$. Then f is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$.*

A given polynomial f of $\mathcal{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is called a *local coordinate* if it satisfies $\mathcal{K}(f)[x_1, \dots, x_n] \simeq_{\mathcal{K}(f)} \mathcal{K}(f)^{[n-1]}$. As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, any local coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ is in fact a coordinate, see [13]. The original proof of Theorem 2.1 is of topological nature, and it is not clear how to compute polynomials g, h such that $\mathcal{K}[f, g, h] = \mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$.

The study of coordinates in polynomial rings over fields naturally leads to do the same but over rings. Given a ring $\mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we say that f is a

residual coordinate if f is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{P}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for any prime ideal $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{P}}$ stands for the residual field of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{P}$. The following result, proved in [6] for the Noetherian case and extended to the general case in [15], will be crucial for our purpose.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$. Then any residual coordinate of $\mathcal{A}[x, y]$ is a coordinate of $\mathcal{A}[x, y]$.*

2.2. Locally nilpotent derivations. A derivation of a ring $\mathcal{A}$ is called *locally nilpotent* if for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a positive integer n such that $\mathcal{X}^n(a) = 0$. The subset $\{a \in \mathcal{A} ; \mathcal{X}(a) = 0\}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is in fact a subring called the *ring of constants* of $\mathcal{X}$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{X}}$. When $\mathcal{A}$ is a domain and $\mathcal{X}$ is locally nilpotent, the ring of constants $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is factorially closed in $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., if $a \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $a = bc$ then $b, c \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{X}}$. In particular the units of $\mathcal{A}$ are in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{X}}$ and the irreducible elements of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{X}}$ are irreducible in $\mathcal{A}$.

An element s of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\mathcal{X}(s) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{X}^2(s) = 0$ is called a *local slice* of $\mathcal{X}$. If moreover $\mathcal{X}(s) = 1$ then s is called a *slice* of $\mathcal{X}$. A locally nilpotent derivation needs not to have a slice but always has a local slice. The following result, which dates back at least to [30], concerns locally nilpotent derivations having a slice.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ having a slice s . Then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{X}}[s]$ and $\mathcal{X} = \partial_s$.*

Locally nilpotent derivations in two variables over fields are well understood. We have in particular the following version of Rentschler's Theorem [27].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y]$. Then there exists a coordinate system f, g of $\mathcal{K}[x, y]$ and a univariate polynomial h such that $\mathcal{K}[x, y]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[f]$ and $\mathcal{X} = h(f)\partial_g$.*

As a consequence of Theorem 2.4, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a UFD containing $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is a locally nilpotent $\mathcal{A}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{A}[x, y]$ then there exists $f \in \mathcal{A}[x, y]$ and a univariate polynomial h such that $\mathcal{A}[x, y]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{A}[f]$ and $\mathcal{X} = h(f)(\partial_y f \partial_x - \partial_x f \partial_y)$, see [10]. In case $\mathcal{A}$ is an arbitrary ring, the situation is much more involved, see e.g., [7]. However, we have the following result from [5].

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally nilpotent $\mathcal{A}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{A}[x, y]$ such that $1 \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{X}(x), \mathcal{X}(y))$. Then there exists a polynomial f such that $\mathcal{A}[x, y]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{A}[f]$ and $\mathcal{X}$ has a slice s . In particular, $\mathcal{A}[x, y] = \mathcal{A}[f, s]$ and $\mathcal{X} = \partial_s$.*

In case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{K}^{[3]}$ we have the following result proved by Miyanishi [25] for the case $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and extended to the general case in a straightforward way by using Kambayashi's result [22], see also [8] for an algebraic proof.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. Then there exist $f, g \in \mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ such that $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[f, g]$.*

2.3. Rank of a derivation. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[\underline{x}] = \mathcal{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. As defined in [17] the *co-rank* of $\mathcal{X}$, denoted by $\text{corank}(\mathcal{X})$, is the unique nonnegative integer r such that $\mathcal{K}[\underline{x}]^{\mathcal{X}}$ contains a system of coordinates of length r and no system of coordinates of length greater than r . The *rank* of $\mathcal{X}$, denoted by

$\text{rank}(\mathcal{X})$, is defined by $\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) = n - \text{corank}(\mathcal{X})$. Intuitively, the rank of $\mathcal{X}$ is the minimal number of partial derivatives needed for expressing $\mathcal{X}$. The only one derivation of rank 0 is the zero derivation. Any $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of rank 1 is of the form $p(f_1, \dots, f_n)\partial_{f_n}$, where $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a coordinate system. Such a derivation is locally nilpotent if and only if p does not depend on f_n .

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[\underline{x}]$ and let us consider $c = \gcd(\mathcal{X}(x_1), \dots, \mathcal{X}(x_n))$. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *irreducible* if c is a constant of $\mathcal{K}^*$. It is well known that $\mathcal{X}(c) = 0$ and $\mathcal{X} = c\mathcal{Y}$ where $\mathcal{Y}$ is an irreducible locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation. Moreover, this decomposition is unique up to a unit, i.e., if $\mathcal{X} = c_1\mathcal{Y}_1$, where $\mathcal{Y}_1$ is irreducible, then there exists a constant $\mu \in \mathcal{K}^*$ such that $c_1 = \mu c$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mu\mathcal{Y}_1$.

Given any irreducible locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and any c such that $\mathcal{X}(c) = 0$, the derivations $\mathcal{X}$ and $c\mathcal{X}$ have the same rank. Thus, for rank computation we may reduce, without loss of generality, to irreducible derivations. We will see in section 3 that the rank of a locally nilpotent derivation in dimension three may be computed by using classical techniques of computational commutative algebra, namely Gröbner bases and functional decomposition of multivariate polynomials.

2.4. The plinth ideal and minimal local slices. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a ring, $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ and let

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}} := \{\mathcal{X}(a) ; \mathcal{X}^2(a) = 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{X}}$, called the *plinth ideal* of $\mathcal{X}$. This is clearly an invariant of $\mathcal{X}$, i.e., $\mathcal{S}^{\sigma\mathcal{X}\sigma^{-1}} = \sigma(\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}})$ for any automorphism σ of $\mathcal{A}$. In case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ we have the following result which is a direct consequence of faithful flatness of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ over $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}}$, see [11] for the general case.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. Then the plinth ideal $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is principal.*

Computing a generator of the ideal $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is of central importance for our purpose. For this we need the concept of *minimal local slice* which may be found in [18, 2].

Definition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a domain and $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{A}$. A local slice s of $\mathcal{X}$ is called *minimal* if for any local slice v such that $\mathcal{X}(v) \mid \mathcal{X}(s)$ we have $\mathcal{X}(v) = \mu\mathcal{X}(s)$, where μ is a unit of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a UFD, $\mathcal{X}$ be a locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ and s be a local slice of $\mathcal{X}$. Then the following hold:*

- i) *there exists a minimal local slice s_0 of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X}(s_0) \mid \mathcal{X}(s)$,*
- ii) *in case $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is a principal ideal, it is generated by $\mathcal{X}(s)$ for any minimal local slice s of $\mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. i) Let s be a local slice of $\mathcal{X}$ and write $\mathcal{X}(s) = \mu p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_r^{m_r}$, where μ is a unit and the p_i 's are prime, and set $m = \sum_i m_i$. We will prove the result by induction on m .

For $m = 0$ we have $\mathcal{X}(s) = \mu$, and so $\mu^{-1}s$ is a slice of $\mathcal{X}$. This shows that s is a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$. Let us now assume the result to hold for $m - 1$ and let s be a local slice of $\mathcal{X}$, with $\mathcal{X}(s) = \mu p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_r^{m_r}$ and $\sum_i m_i = m$. Then we have one of the following cases.

– For any $i = 1, \dots, r$ the ideal $p_i \mathcal{A}$ does not contain any element of the form $s + a$ with $\mathcal{X}(a) = 0$. In this case s is a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$. Indeed, if it is not the case there exists a local slice s_0 of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X}(s) = q\mathcal{X}(s_0)$, where q is not a unit of $\mathcal{A}^\mathcal{X}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $p_1 \mid q$. If we write $q = p_1 q_1$ then $\mathcal{X}(s - q_1 p_1 s_0) = 0$ and so the ideal $p_1 \mathcal{A}$ contains an element of the form $s + a$ with $\mathcal{X}(a) = 0$, and this contradicts our assumption.

– There exists i such that $p_i \mathcal{A}$ contains an element of the form $s + a$, with $\mathcal{X}(a) = 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $i = 1$. If we write $s + a = p_1 s_1$ then $\mathcal{X}(s_1) = \mu p_1^{m_1-1} p_2^{m_2} \dots p_r^{m_r}$, and by using induction hypothesis we get a minimal local slice s_0 of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X}(s_0) \mid \mathcal{X}(s_1)$. Since $\mathcal{X}(s_1) \mid \mathcal{X}(s)$ we get the result in this case.

ii) Assume now that $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$ is principal and let c be a generator of this ideal, with $c = \mathcal{X}(s_0)$. Let s be a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$. Since $\mathcal{X}(s) \in \mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$ we may write $\mathcal{X}(s) = \mu \mathcal{X}(s_0)$. The fact that s is minimal implies that μ is a unit of $\mathcal{A}^\mathcal{X}$, and so $\mathcal{X}(s)$ generates $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$. $\square$

An algorithm for computing a generator of $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$ in dimension three is given in [2]. As we will see in section 3, a generator of the ideal $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$ contains crucial information for computing the rank of a locally nilpotent derivation in dimension three.

Let $\mathcal{A}^\mathcal{X}[s ; \mathcal{X}(s) \in \mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}]$ be the subring of $\mathcal{A}$ generated over $\mathcal{A}^\mathcal{X}$ by all the local slices of $\mathcal{X}$. This is another invariant of the derivation $\mathcal{X}$. Let $(c_i)_{i \in I}$ be a generating system of $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$ and let s_i be such that $\mathcal{X}(s_i) = c_i$. Given any local slice s of $\mathcal{X}$ we have $\mathcal{X}(s) \in \mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$, and so there exist a finite subset J of I and a family $(u_i)_{i \in J}$ in $\mathcal{A}^\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X}(s) = \sum_i u_i \mathcal{X}(s_i)$. We have then $\mathcal{X}(s - \sum_i u_i s_i) = 0$ and so $s \in \mathcal{A}^\mathcal{X}[s_i, i \in I]$. This proves that the ring $\mathcal{A}^\mathcal{X}[s ; \mathcal{X}(s) \in \mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}] = \mathcal{A}^\mathcal{X}[s_i, i \in I]$. In case $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$ is principal we get a univariate polynomial ring $\mathcal{A}^\mathcal{X}[s]$, which we will call the *trivializing ring* of $\mathcal{X}$ and denote by $\mathcal{T}^\mathcal{X}$.

Assume $\mathcal{A}$ to be a UFD and that $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$ is principal and generated by $c = \mathcal{X}(s)$. For any factor q of c we let $\mathcal{I}_q^\mathcal{X} = q\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{T}^\mathcal{X}[s]$. The ideals $\mathcal{I}_q^\mathcal{X}$ are in fact invariants of the derivation and we will see in section 5 that they hold the essential information needed to decide whether $\mathcal{X}$ is triangulable.

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF RANK TWO LOCALLY NILPOTENT DERIVATIONS

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an irreducible locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ and c be a generator of its plinth ideal $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X}$. From Lemma 2.3, $\mathcal{X}$ is of rank 1 if and only if $c \in \mathcal{K}^*$. The following Theorem from [2] gives a characterization of rank two locally nilpotent derivations in dimension three.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an irreducible locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ and assume that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) \neq 1$. Let us write $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{K}[f, g]$ and $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{X} = c\mathcal{K}[f, g]$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) $\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) = 2$,
- ii) $c = \ell(u)$, where ℓ is a univariate polynomial and u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[f, g]$,
- iii) $c = \ell(u)$, where u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$.

Proof. i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) Assume that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) = 2$ and let u, v, w be a coordinate system such that $\mathcal{X}(u) = 0$. The $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation $\mathcal{X}$ is therefore a $\mathcal{K}[u]$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[u][v, w]$,

and since $\mathcal{K}[u]$ is a UFD there exists $p \in \mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ such that $\mathcal{K}[f, g] = \mathcal{K}[u, p]$. This proves that u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[f, g]$.

Let us now view $\mathcal{X}$ as $\mathcal{K}(u)$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}(u)[v, w]$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is irreducible, and according to Theorem 2.4, there exists $s = \frac{h(u, v, w)}{k(u)}$ such that $\mathcal{X}(s) = 1$, and so $\mathcal{X}(h) = k(u)$. Let c be a generator of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$. Then $c \mid k(u)$, and since $\mathcal{K}[u]$ is factorially closed in $\mathcal{K}[u, v, w]$ we have $c = \ell(u)$ for some univariate polynomial ℓ .

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) Assume that $c = \ell(u)$, where u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[f, g]$ and write $\mathcal{K}[f, g] = \mathcal{K}[u, p]$. Let s be such that $\mathcal{X}(s) = c$. If we view $\mathcal{X}$ as $\mathcal{K}(u)$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}(u)[x, y, z]$ then $\mathcal{K}(u)[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}(u)[p]$ and $\mathcal{X}(c^{-1}s) = 1$. By applying Lemma 2.3 we get $\mathcal{K}(u)[x, y, z] = \mathcal{K}(u)[p, s]$. From Theorem 2.1 we deduce that u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$.

iii) $\Rightarrow$ i) Since $\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) \neq 1$ the polynomial ℓ is nonconstant. We have $\mathcal{X}(c) = \ell'(u)\mathcal{X}(u) = 0$, and so $\mathcal{X}(u) = 0$. On the other hand, since u is assumed to be a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ we have $\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) \leq 2$. By assumption we have $\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) \neq 1$ and so $\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) = 2$. $\square$

The condition *ii)* in Theorem 3.1 is in fact algorithmic. Indeed, there are actually many algorithms to check whether a given polynomial in two variables is a coordinate, see e.g., [1, 4, 12, 29]. It is worth mentioning that from the complexity point of view the algorithm given in [29] is the most efficient as reported in [24]. On the other hand, condition $c = \ell(u)$ may be checked by using a special case, called *uni-multivariate decomposition*, of functional decomposition of polynomials, see e.g., [19]. It is important to notice here that uni-multivariate decomposition is essentially unique. Namely, if $c = \ell(u) = \ell_1(u_1)$, where u and u_1 are undecomposable, then there exist $\mu \in \mathcal{K}^*$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $u_1 = \mu u + \nu$. More details about the computation of the rank of a locally nilpotent derivation in dimension three may be found in [2].

4. A TRIANGULABILITY CRITERION

Triangulable derivations in dimension n are of rank at most $n - 1$. On the other hand, a rank 1 locally nilpotent derivation is obviously triangulable. This shows that in dimension 3 we only need to deal with rank 2 derivations.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a rank 2 locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ such that $\mathcal{X}(x) = 0$. Then for any coordinate system x_1, y_1, z_1 such that $\mathcal{X}(x_1) = 0$ we have $\mathcal{K}[x] = \mathcal{K}[x_1]$, see [9] (this could also be easily deduced from the uniqueness property of uni-multivariate decomposition). This proves that if $\mathcal{X}$ has a triangular form in a coordinate system x_1, y_1, z_1 then x_1 is essentially unique and may be extracted from a generator of the plinth ideal $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$. Also, this shows that if $\mathcal{X}$ is triangulable and $\mathcal{X}(a) = 0$ then $a\mathcal{X}$ is triangulable if and only if $a \in \mathcal{K}[x]$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an irreducible locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ of rank ≤ 2 , u be a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ such that $\mathcal{X}(u) = 0$, and s be a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$. Then the $\mathcal{K}[u]$ -derivation $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Jac}_{(x, y, z)}(u, s, \cdot)$ is locally nilpotent irreducible and $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{K}[u, s]$. Moreover, $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}\mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u = x$. Let us write $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[x, p]$ and let $\mathcal{X}(s) = c(x)$. Then $\mathcal{K}[x]_c[y, z] = \mathcal{K}[x]_c[p, s]$ according

to Lemma 2.3. Given $a \in \mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$, we may therefore write $a = \frac{h(x, p, s)}{c(x)^n}$. This gives $\mathcal{Y}(a) = -c^{-n}(\partial_z s \partial_y p - \partial_y s \partial_z p) \partial_p h$, and since $\mathcal{X}(s) = -\partial_z s \partial_y p + \partial_y s \partial_z p = c(x)$ we get $\mathcal{Y}(a) = c(x)^{-n+1} \partial_p h$. By induction we get $\mathcal{Y}^{d+1}(a) = 0$, where $d = \deg_p(h)$, and this proves that $\mathcal{Y}$ is locally nilpotent.

Let $g(x, y, z) = \gcd(\partial_y s, \partial_z s)$. Since $\mathcal{Y}(p) = -c(x)$ we have $g \mid c(x)$ and so we may write $c(x) = g(x)c_1(x)$. We have then $s(x, y, z) = g(x)s_1(x, y, z) + a(x)$, and this gives $\mathcal{X}(s_1) = c_1(x)$. Since s is a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$ we have $c(x) \mid c_1(x)$, and so $g \in \mathcal{K}^*$. This shows that $\mathcal{Y}$ is irreducible.

Let us write $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{K}[x, s_0]$ and $s = \ell(x, s_0)$. Then $\mathcal{Y} = \ell'(x, s_0)(\partial_z s_0 \partial_y - \partial_y s_0 \partial_z)$. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is irreducible ℓ' is a unit, and so $s = \mu s_0 + a(x)$. This proves that $\mathcal{K}[x, s_0] = \mathcal{K}[x, s]$. The fact that $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ commute is clear. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a rank two irreducible triangulable $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ and let u, v, w be a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ such that*

$$\mathcal{X}(u) = 0, \quad \mathcal{X}(v) = d(x), \quad \mathcal{X}(w) = q(x, v).$$

Let $c(u)$ be a generator of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$. Then $d(u) = c(u)e(u)$, $\gcd(c(u), e(u)) = 1$ and $\mathcal{I}(e(u), q(u, v)) = \mathcal{K}[u, v]$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is of rank 2 we must have $d(u) \neq 0$, and so v is a local slice of $\mathcal{X}$. This proves that $c(u) \mid d(u)$. On the other hand, let us consider

$$(4.1) \quad p = d(u)w - q_1(u, v),$$

where $\partial_v q_1 = q$. We have $\mathcal{X} = \partial_w p \partial_v - \partial_v p \partial_w$, and the fact that $\mathcal{X}$ is irreducible implies that $\gcd(\partial_v p, \partial_w p) = 1$. This shows that $\mathcal{K}[u, v, w]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[u, p]$.

Let us write $d(u) = c(u)e(u)$, and notice that the result obviously holds if we have $\deg_u(e(u)) = 0$. Thus, we assume in the rest of the proof that $\deg_u(e(u)) > 0$.

Let α be a root of $e(u)$ in an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ and let us prove that $q(\alpha, v)$ is a nonzero constant. We may write

$$(4.2) \quad v = e(u)s(u, v, w) + \ell(u, p(u, v, w)),$$

where s is a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$. By substituting α to u in the relation (4.2) we get $v = \ell(\alpha, p(\alpha, v, w))$, and by doing so for (4.1) we get $p(\alpha, v, w) = -q_1(\alpha, v)$. This yields $v = \ell(\alpha, -q_1(\alpha, v))$. By comparing degrees in both sides of this equality we get $\deg(q_1(\alpha, v)) = 1$. This proves that $\deg(q(\alpha, v)) = 0$ and so $q(\alpha, v)$ is a nonzero constant. By the Hilbert Nullstellensatz we have $\mathcal{I}(e(u), q(u, v)) = \mathcal{K}[u, v]$. To prove that $\gcd(c, e) = 1$ we only need to show that $q(\alpha, v)$ is nonconstant for any root α of $c(u)$.

Let $a(u)$ be a prime factor of $c(u)$. First, notice that the assumption $q(u, v) = 0 \pmod{a(u)}$ would imply that $a(u) \mid \mathcal{X}(h)$ for any h and contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{X}$ is irreducible. Assume towards contradiction that $q(u, v)$ is a nonzero constant modulo $a(u)$. Then $\mathcal{X}$ has no fixed points in the surface $a(u) = 0$. If we write $c(u) = a(u)^m c_1(u)$, with $\gcd(c_1, a) = 1$, and view $\mathcal{X}$ as $\mathcal{K}[u]_{c_1}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[u]_{c_1}[v, w]$ then it is fixed point free and so it has a slice s according to Theorem 2.5. If we write $s = \frac{h(u, v, w)}{c_1^n}$ then $\mathcal{X}(h) = c_1^n$. But c_1^n is not a multiple of c , and this contradicts the fact that c is a generator of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$. $\square$

The following Lemma shows that it is possible to get rid of the factor $e(u)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a rank two irreducible triangulable locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$, and write $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[u, p]$ where u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. Let s be a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$ and write $\mathcal{X}(s) = c(u)$. Then there exist v, w such that u, v, w is a coordinate system and*

$$\mathcal{X}(u) = 0, \mathcal{X}(v) = c(u), \mathcal{X}(w) = q(u, v).$$

Proof. Let u_1, v_1, w_1 be a coordinate system such that $\mathcal{X}(u_1) = 0, \mathcal{X}(v_1) = d(u_1)$ and $\mathcal{X}(w_1) = q_1(u_1, v_1)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $u_1 = u$, and according to Lemma 4.2 let us write $d(u) = c(u)e(u)$ with $\gcd(c(u), e(u)) = 1$.

Without loss of generality, we may choose $p = c(u)e(u)w_1 - Q_1(u, v_1)$, where $\partial_{v_1}Q_1 = q_1$, and $v_1 = e(u)s + \ell_1(u, p)$. This gives the relation

$$(4.3) \quad p = c(u)e(u)w_1 - Q_1(u, e(u)s + \ell_1(u, p)).$$

If we write $a(u)c(u) + b(u)e(u) = 1$ then we get

$$Q_1(u, e(u)s + \ell_1(u, p)) = Q_1(u, e(u)(s + b(u)\ell_1(u, p)) + c(u)a(u)\ell_1(u, p)),$$

and by Taylor expanding we get

$$(4.4) \quad Q_1(u, e(u)s + \ell_1(u, p)) = Q_1(u, e(u)(s + b(u)\ell_1(u, p))) + c(u)Q_2(u, p, s).$$

Now, let $\ell(u, p) = b(u)\ell_1(u, p)$, $v = s + \ell(u, p)$, $Q(u, v) = Q_1(u, e(u)v)$ and let $w = e(u)w_1 - Q_2(u, p, s)$. According to the relations (4.3) and (4.4) we have

$$(4.5) \quad p + Q(u, v) = c(u)w.$$

Let us consider the $\mathcal{K}[u]$ -derivation $\mathcal{Y} = -\text{Jac}(u, v, \cdot)$. By Lemma 4.1, $\mathcal{Y}$ is locally nilpotent and $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{K}[u, v]$. By the relation (4.5) we have $\mathcal{Y}(w) = 1$, and from Lemma 2.3 we deduce that u, v, w is a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{X}(u) = 0, \mathcal{X}(v) = c(u)$ and $\mathcal{X}(w) = \partial_v Q(u, v)$. $\square$

We have now enough material to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a rank two irreducible locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ and write $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[u, p]$ where u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. Let s be a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$ and write $\mathcal{X}(s) = c(u)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) *the derivation $\mathcal{X}$ is triangulable,*
- ii) *the ideal $\mathcal{I}_c^{\mathcal{X}}$ contains a polynomial of the form $H = p + Q(u, s + \ell(u, p))$.*

In this case, if we let $v = s + \ell(u, p)$ and $H = c(u)w$ then u, v, w is a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ which satisfies

$$\mathcal{X}(u) = 0, \mathcal{X}(v) = c(u), \mathcal{X}(w) = \partial_v Q(u, v).$$

Proof. i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) Let u, v, w be a coordinate system such that $\mathcal{X}(u) = 0, \mathcal{X}(v) = d(u)$ and $\mathcal{X}(w) = q(u, v)$. By Lemma 4.3 we may choose our coordinate system in such a way that $d(u) = c(u)$. In this case we have $v = s + \ell(u, p)$ and we may choose $p = c(u)w - Q(u, v)$, where $\partial_v Q(u, v) = q(u, v)$. If we let $H = p + Q(u, v)$ then clearly $H \in \mathcal{I}_c^{\mathcal{X}}$.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ i) Let $v = s + \ell(u, p)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = -\text{Jac}(u, v, \cdot)$, and notice that $\mathcal{Y}$ is locally nilpotent and $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{K}[u, v]$ according to Lemma 4.1. By assumption we have $H = p + Q(u, v) \in \mathcal{I}_c^{\mathcal{X}}$, so let us write $H = c(u)w$. Since $\mathcal{Y}(H) = \mathcal{Y}(p) = c(u)$ we have $\mathcal{Y}(w) = 1$. According to Lemma 2.3, u, v, w is a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$, and $\mathcal{X}(u) = 0, \mathcal{X}(v) = c(u)$ and $\mathcal{X}(w) = \partial_v Q(u, v)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a rank two irreducible locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ and write $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[u, p]$ where u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. Let s be a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$ and write $\mathcal{X}(s) = c(u) = c_1^{n_1} \cdots c_r^{n_r}$, where the c_i 's are irreducible and pairwise distinct. Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) the derivation $\mathcal{X}$ is triangulable,*
- ii) for any $i = 1, \dots, r$ the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{c_i}^{\mathcal{X}}$ contains a polynomial H_i such that $H_i = p + Q_i(u, s + \ell_i(u, p)) \pmod{c_i^{n_i}}$.*

Proof. $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ This is an obvious consequence of Theorem 4.4.

$ii) \Rightarrow i)$ By the Chinese remainder Theorem let $Q(u, v)$ and $\ell(u, p)$ be such that $Q = Q_i$ and $\ell = \ell_i \pmod{c_i^{n_i}}$. A straightforward computation shows that $p + Q(u, s + \ell(u, p)) = c(u)w$, and so $\mathcal{X}$ is triangulable by Theorem 4.4. $\square$

5. COMPUTING A TRIANGULATING COORDINATE SYSTEM

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an irreducible triangulable locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ and write $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[u, p]$, where u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. Let s be a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$, with $\mathcal{X}(s) = c(u) = c_1^{n_1} \cdots c_r^{n_r}$ and the c_i 's are prime and pairwise distinct. According to Corollary 4.5 it suffices to find a polynomial of the form $p + Q_i(u, \ell_i(u, p))$ in each ideal $\mathcal{I}_{c_i}^{\mathcal{X}}$. It is trivial to see that such a polynomial is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u, p, s]$, and as a by-product it is a coordinate when viewed as polynomial of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_i^{n_i}[p, s]$. We are thus led to deal with the problem of finding a polynomial in $\mathcal{I}_{c_i}^{\mathcal{X}}$ which is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x]/c_i^{n_i}[p, s]$. In fact, taking into account Theorem 2.2, we only need to deal with the case of $\mathcal{K}[x]/c_i[p, s]$. In this section we solve such a problem, and we show how this allows to compute a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ in which $\mathcal{X}$ exhibits a triangular form.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a rank two irreducible locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$, and write $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[u, p]$ where u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. Let s be a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$ and write $\mathcal{X}(s) = c(u)$. Then for any prime factor c_1 of c the following hold:*

- i) there exists a monic polynomial h_1 with respect to s such that $\mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}} = (c_1, h_1)$. Moreover, c_1, h_1 is the reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$ with respect to the lex-order $u \prec p \prec s$,*
- ii) the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$ contains a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p, s]$ if and only if h_1 is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p, s]$. Moreover, any polynomial $h \in \mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$ which is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p, s]$ satisfies $h = \mu(u)h_1$, where μ is a unit of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1$.*

Proof. $i)$ Let v, w be such that u, v, w is a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. The derivation $\mathcal{X}$ induces a locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1$ -derivation $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]/c_1 = \mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is assumed to be irreducible we have $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \neq 0$, and by Theorem 2.4 there exists $\vartheta \in \mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$ such that $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]^{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} = \mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[\vartheta]$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{K}[u, p, s]/\mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is a $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$ and we have $\overline{\mathcal{X}}(p) = \overline{\mathcal{X}}(s) = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$. This proves that $\mathcal{K}[u, p, s]/\mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is in fact a $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[\vartheta]$, and as a consequence there exist polynomials $a(t), b(t) \in \mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[t]$ such that $p = a(\vartheta)$ and $s = b(\vartheta)$ in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[\vartheta]$. To prove that $a(t)$ is nonconstant we will prove that $\mathcal{K}[u, p] \cap \mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}} = (c_1)$. Let $k(u, p) \in \mathcal{K}[u, p] \cap \mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$ and write $k(u, p) = c_1(u)p_1(u, v, w)$. Since $\mathcal{K}[u, p]$ is factorially closed in $\mathcal{K}[u, v, w]$ we have $p_1(u, v, w) = p_2(u, p)$, and so $k(u, p) = c_1(u)p_2(u, p)$.

Now if $a(t)$ is constant, say $a_0(u)$, then $p - a_0(u) = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$ and so $p - a_0(u) \in \mathcal{K}[u, p] \cap \mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{K}[u, p] \cap \mathcal{I} = (c_1(u))$.

The fact $\mathcal{K}[u, p] \cap \mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}} = (c_1)$ implies that the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p]$ is a $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$. Let us write $a(t) = a_m(u)t^m + \cdots + a_0(u)$ with $m \geq 1$ and a_m a unit of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1$. The fact that $a(\vartheta) - p = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$ implies that ϑ is integral over $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p]$. From $s = b(\vartheta)$ in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$ we deduce that s is integral over $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p]$ as well. Since $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p]$ is UFD and $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$ is a domain there exists a unique irreducible polynomial $h_1(u, p, t)$ which is monic with respect to t such that $h_1(u, p, s) = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$. Moreover, any other polynomial $h(u, p, t)$ such that $h(u, p, s) = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[v, w]$ is a multiple of h_1 . This means exactly that $c_1\mathcal{K}[u, v, w] \cap \mathcal{K}[u, p, s] = (c_1, h_1)$ and that h_1 is unique, up to a multiplication by a constant in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1$, when viewed as polynomial in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p, s]$.

Now let $a \in \mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$, and notice that in this case reducing a by h , with respect to the lex-order $u \prec p \prec s$, is the same as performing the Euclidean division of a by h with respect to s . We may thus write $a = qh + r$, with $\deg_s(r) < \deg_s(h)$. Since $r \in \mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$ we may write $r = b_1h + b_2c_1$, and even if it means reducing b_2 by h we may assume that $\deg_s(b_2) < \deg_s(h)$. By comparing degrees with respect to s in both sides of the last equality we get $r = b_2c_1$, and so a reduces to 0 by using h, c_1 . This means exactly that c_1, h_1 is a Gröbner basis of $\mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$ with respect to the lex-order $u \prec p \prec s$.

ii) Let $h \in \mathcal{I}_{c_1}^{\mathcal{X}}$ be a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p, s]$, and write $h = ac_1 + bh_1$. Then over $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1$ we have $h = bh_1$, and the fact that h is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p, s]$ implies in particular that it is irreducible. This shows that b is a unit of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p, s]$, and so a nonzero element of the field $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1$. As a consequence of this, h_1 is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p, s]$. The converse is clear. $\square$

We can now state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a rank two irreducible locally nilpotent $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$, and let $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{K}[u, p]$ where u is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$. Let s be a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{X}$ and write $\mathcal{X}(s) = c(u) = c_1^{n_1} \cdots c_r^{n_r}$, where the c_i 's are irreducible and pairwise distinct. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is triangulable if and only if for any $i = 1, \dots, r$ the following hold:*

- i) *the reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathcal{I}_{c_i}^{\mathcal{X}}$ with respect to the lex-order $u \prec p \prec s$ is c_i, h_i , where $h_i = Q_i(u, s + \ell_i(u, p)) + \mu_i(u)p \pmod{c_i}$ and $\mu_i(u)$ is a unit $\pmod{c_i}$,*
- ii) *if $\ell(u, p)$ is such that $\ell(u, p) = \ell_i(u, p) \pmod{c_i}$, and $v = s + \ell(u, p)$ then u, v is a system of coordinates of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$.*

In this case the ideal $\mathcal{I}_c^{\mathcal{Y}}$, where $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Jac}_{(x, y, z)}(u, v, \cdot)$, contains a polynomial of the form $p + Q(u, s + \ell(u, p))$ and if we let $p + Q(u, s + \ell(u, p)) = c(u)w$ then u, v, w is a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ which satisfies

$$\mathcal{X}(u) = 0, \mathcal{X}(v) = c(u), \mathcal{X}(w) = \partial_v Q(u, v).$$

Proof. “ $\Rightarrow$ ” By Theorem 4.4, the ideal $\mathcal{I}_c^{\mathcal{X}}$ contains a polynomial h^* of the form $p + Q^*(u, s + \ell^*(u, p))$ and if we let $v^* = s + \ell^*(u, p)$ and $h^* = c(u)w^*$ then u, v^*, w^* is a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$.

For any $i = 1, \dots, r$, let h_i^*, Q_i^*, ℓ_i^* be respectively the reductions modulo c_i of h^*, Q^*, ℓ^* . The fact that reduction modulo c_i is a $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra homomorphism implies that $h_i^* = p + Q_i^*(u, s + \ell_i^*(u, p)) \pmod{c_i}$.

Since h^* is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u][p, s]$ it is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c_1[p, s]$ according to Theorem 2.2. By Lemma 5.1 i) let c_i, h_i be the reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathcal{I}_{c_i}^{\mathcal{X}}$ with respect to the lex-order $u \prec p \prec s$. According to Lemma 5.1 ii) there exists a unit ν_i modulo c_i such that $h_i^* = \nu_i(u)h_i$. If we let $\mu_i(u)$ be such that $\mu_i\nu_i = 1 \pmod{c_i}$ then we have $h_i = Q_i(u, s + \ell_i^*(u, p)) + \mu_i(u)p$, where $Q_i \in \mathcal{K}[u, t]$.

Now let $\ell(u, p)$ be such that $\ell(u, p) = \ell_i^*(u, p) \pmod{c_i}$ for any $i = 1, \dots, r$. Since $\ell_i^*(u, p) = \ell^*(u, p) \pmod{c_i}$ we also have $\ell(u, p) = \ell^*(u, p) \pmod{c_i}$. We claim that $v = s + \ell(u, p)$ is a $\mathcal{K}[u]$ -coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u, v^*, w^*]$. Indeed, according to Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show that v is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u]/d(u)[v^*, w^*]$ for any irreducible polynomial $d(u) \in \mathcal{K}[u]$. Depending on $d(u)$ we have the two following cases.

- For some $i = 1, \dots, r$, $d(u)$ and $c_i(u)$ are associate. In this case we have $v = v^*$ in $\mathcal{K}[u]/d(u)[v^*, w^*]$, so v is a coordinate in $\mathcal{K}[u]/d(u)[v^*, w^*]$.
- For any $i = 1, \dots, r$, $\gcd(d, c_i) = 1$. In this case $c(u)$ is a unit of $\mathcal{K}[u]/d(u)$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ be the $\mathcal{K}[u]/d(u)$ -derivation of $\mathcal{K}[u]/d(u)[v^*, w^*]$ induced by $\mathcal{X}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{X}}(c^{-1}v) = 1$, which proves according to Theorem 2.4 that v is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u]/d(u)[v^*, w^*]$.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Assume that i) and ii) hold and let $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Jac}(u, v, \cdot)$. By Lemma 4.1, $\mathcal{Y}$ is locally nilpotent and we have $\mathcal{K}[u, v^*, w^*]^{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{K}[u, v]$. Moreover, $\mathcal{Y}(p) = -c(u)$ and the fact that v is a coordinate of $\mathcal{K}[u][v^*, w^*]$ implies that $\mathcal{Y}$ has a slice w . We therefore have $\mathcal{Y}(p + c(u)w) = 0$, and so $p + c(u)w = Q(u, v)$. The fact that u, v, w is a coordinate system of $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]$ follows immediately from Lemma 2.3, and a direct computation shows that $\mathcal{X}$ has a triangular form in the coordinate system u, v, w . $\square$

Remark 5.3. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a triangular $\mathcal{K}$ -derivation and write

$$\mathcal{X}(x) = 0, \mathcal{X}(y) = c(x), \mathcal{X}(z) = q(x, y),$$

and let $p = c(x)z - Q(u, v)$ where $q = \partial_y Q$. From Theorem 5.2 ii) we deduce that any $v = y + d(u)\ell(x, p)$, where $d(u)$ is the maximal square-free factor of $c(u)$, is a coordinate and gives rise to another coordinate system x, v, w in which $\mathcal{X}$ has a triangular form with a different polynomial Q . Thus, a triangulable derivation has many, actually infinitely many, triangular forms. It is also not clear whether there exists a distinguished form which could serve as a “normal form”. Nevertheless, it should be noticed that all the triangular forms and their corresponding coordinate systems are built out of invariants of $\mathcal{X}$, namely $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$ and the ideals $\mathcal{I}_{c_i}^{\mathcal{X}}$ where the c_i ’s are the primes factors of $c(u)$.

Let us now discuss how to computationally check the conditions i) and ii) of Theorem 5.2. Assume that condition i) holds and that we have found a polynomial of the form $p + Q_i(u, s + \ell_i(u, p))$ in each ideal $\mathcal{I}_{c_i}^{\mathcal{X}}$. The computation of $\ell(u, p)$ is then just a matter of Chinese remaindering. On the other hand, from Lemma 4.1 we know that $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Jac}_{(x, y, z)}(u, v, \cdot)$, where $v = s + \ell(u, p)$, is locally nilpotent and $\mathcal{K}[x, y, z]^{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{K}[u, v]$. Thus, v is a coordinate if and only if $\mathcal{Y}$ has a slice. This may be checked by computing a minimal local slice starting from the local slice p , which reduces to compute a reduced Gröbner basis G of $c(u)\mathcal{K}[x, y, z] \cap \mathcal{K}[u, v, p]$

with respect to the lex-order $u \prec v \prec p$. In more explicit terms, v is a coordinate if and only if the computed Gröbner basis is of the form $c(u), p + Q(u, v)$. Notice that in case v is a coordinate, G also furnishes a polynomial w , with $p + Q(u, v) = c(u)w$, which completes u, v into a coordinate system and the polynomial Q which is involved in the triangular form of $\mathcal{X}$.

The condition *i*) is a matter of functional decomposition of polynomials, and the fact that we are here dealing with monic polynomials with respect to s makes it almost trivial.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $c(u)$ be an irreducible polynomial of $\mathcal{K}[u, v, w]$, n be a positive integer and $h \in \mathcal{K}[u, v, w]$ be monic with respect to w and write*

$$h = w^d + h_{d-1}(u, v)w^{d-1} + \cdots + h_0(u, v).$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- i) $h = Q(u, w + \ell(u, v))$ in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c^n[v, w]$, with $\ell \in \mathcal{K}[u]/c^n[v]$ and $Q \in \mathcal{K}[u]/c^n[w]$,*
- ii) $h(u, v, w - \frac{h_{d-1}}{d})$, viewed in $\mathcal{K}[u]/c^n[v, w]$, is a polynomial of $\mathcal{K}[u]/c^n[w]$.*

In this case, we may choose $\ell = \frac{h_{d-1}}{d}$ and $Q = h(u, v, w - \ell)$.

Proof. *i) $\Rightarrow$ ii)* Let us write $Q = w^d + q_{d-1}(u)w^{d-1} + \cdots + q_0(u)$. By expanding $Q(u, w + \ell(u, v))$ and comparing its coefficients with respect to w to those of h we get $h_{d-1}(u, v) = d\ell(u, v) + q_{d-1}(u)$. Therefore, $h(u, w - \frac{h_{d-1}}{d}) = Q(u, w - \frac{q_{d-1}(u)}{d})$ and this clearly shows that $h(u, w - \frac{h_{d-1}}{d}) \in \mathcal{K}[u]/c^n[w]$.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ i) Let us write $h(u, v, w - \frac{h_{d-1}}{d}) = Q(u, w)$. Then $Q(u, w + \frac{h_{d-1}}{d}) = h$ and we have the required decomposition. $\square$

6. EXAMPLES

In this section we give two examples to illustrate how our algorithm proceeds. All derivations are given in a Jacobian form, i.e., as $\text{Jac}(f, g, \cdot)$, since in such a form one can algorithmically check whether the given derivation is locally nilpotent and if so whether its ring of constants is generated by f, g , see [14]. For implementation we used the Computer Algebra System Maple release 10.

Example 6.1. *Consider following example from [9].*

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x, \\ g_1 &= y + \frac{(xz+y^2)^2}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and let $\mathcal{X} = \text{Jac}_{(x,y,z)}(f_1, g_1, \cdot) = \partial_z g_1 \partial_y - \partial_y g_1 \partial_z$. The derivation $\mathcal{X}$ is locally nilpotent and its kernel is $\mathcal{K}[f_1, g_1]$. Our algorithm produces $-x$ as a generator of the plinth ideal $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $s = -xz - y^2$ as a minimal local slice. The computation of a Gröbner basis of $\mathcal{I}_x^{\mathcal{X}}$ with respect to the lex-order $x \prec g_1 \prec s$ produces then $x, (s^2 - 4g_1)^2 + 16s$, and the polynomial $(s^2 - 4g_1)^2 + 16s$ cannot be written in the form $\mu g_1 + Q(x, s + \ell(x, g_1))$, where $\mu \in \mathcal{K}^*$. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}$ is not triangulable.

Example 6.2. *Consider the following polynomials*

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= 2x + y + z^2 - 2xy + x^2y^2, \\ g_2 &= 3xy + 2x^2 - 2zx + 2x^2y + y^2 - yz + xy^2 + z^2y + z^2x - z^3 + 3z^2xy - \\ &\quad 2zxy^2 - 2zx^2y - 3zx^2y^2 + x^2y^3 + x^3y^2 + x^3y^3 - z^2 + 2zxy - x^2y^2, \end{aligned}$$

and let $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Jac}_{(x,y,z)}(f_2, g_2, \cdot)$. The derivation $\mathcal{Y}$ is locally nilpotent and its kernel is $\mathcal{K}[f_2, g_2]$. Moreover, our algorithm produces f_2 as a generator of the plinth ideal $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $s = z - xy + 1$ as a minimal local slice of $\mathcal{Y}$. The computation of a Gröbner basis of $\mathcal{I}_{f_2}^{\mathcal{Y}}$ with respect to the lex-order $f_2 \prec g_2 \prec s$ produces then $f_2, s^2 - 2s + g_2 + 1$. If we let $u = f_2$ and $v = s - 1$ then we get $g_2 + v^2 = f_2 w$, where $w = -y - x + z - xy$. This gives a coordinate system u, v, w such that

$$\mathcal{Y}(u) = 0, \mathcal{Y}(v) = u, \mathcal{Y}(w) = 2v.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Abhyankar and T. T. Moh, *Embeddings of the line in the plane*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **276** (1975), 148–166.
- [2] M. A. Barkatou, H. El Houari, and M. El Kahoui, *Characterization of rank two locally nilpotent derivations in dimension three*, Submitted to J. Algebra (2006).
- [3] H. Bass, *A non-triangulable action of G_a on $\mathbb{A}^3$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **33** (1984), 1–5.
- [4] J. Berson and A. van den Essen, *An algorithm to find a coordinate's mate*, J. of Symbolic Computation **36** (2003), 835–843.
- [5] J. Berson, A. van den Essen, and S. Maubach, *Derivations having divergence zero on $R[X, Y]$* , Israel J. Math. **124** (2001), 115–124.
- [6] S. M. Bhatwadekar and A. K. Dutta, *On residual variables and stably polynomial algebras*, Comm. Alg. **21** (1993), no. 2, 635–645.
- [7] S. M. Bhatwadekar and A. K. Dutta, *Kernel of locally nilpotent R -derivations of $R[X, Y]$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no. 8, 3303–3319.
- [8] A. Crachiola and L. Makar-Limanov, *An algebraic proof of a cancellation theorem for surfaces*, Preprint available at <http://www.ihes.fr/IHES/Scientifique/Preprint/prepub.php> (2005).
- [9] D. Daigle, *A necessary and sufficient condition for triangulability of derivations of $k[X, Y, Z]$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **113** (1996), no. 3, 297–305.
- [10] D. Daigle and G. Freudenburg, *Locally nilpotent derivations over a UFD and an application to rank two locally nilpotent derivations of $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$* , J. Algebra **204** (1998), no. 2, 353–371.
- [11] D. Daigle and S. Kaliman, *A note on locally nilpotent derivations and variables of $k[X, Y, Z]$* , preprint available at <http://aix1.uottawa.ca/~ddaigle/>.
- [12] H. El Houari and M. El Kahoui, *Algorithms for recognizing coordinates in two variables over UFD's*, Proceedings of ISSAC'2004, ACM Press, 2004, pp. 135–140.
- [13] M. El Kahoui, *UFD's with commuting linearly independent locally nilpotent derivations*, J. Algebra **289** (2005), 446–452.
- [14] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 190, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [15] A. van den Essen and P. van Rossum, *Coordinates in two variables over a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 5, 1691–1703.
- [16] D. R. Finston and S. Walcher, *Centralizers of locally nilpotent derivations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **120** (1997), no. 1, 39–49. MR MR1466096 (98d:14057)
- [17] G. Freudenburg, *Triangulability criteria for additive group actions on affine space*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **105** (1995), 267–275.
- [18] G. Freudenburg, *Algebraic theory of locally nilpotent derivations. Invariant theory and algebraic transformation groups VII.*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 136. 260 p., 2006 (English).
- [19] J. von zur Gathen, *Functional decomposition of polynomials: the tame case*, J. Symbolic Comput. **9** (1990), no. 3, 281–299.
- [20] H. W. E. Jung, *Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **184** (1942), 161–174.
- [21] S. Kaliman, *Polynomials with general $\mathbb{C}^2$ -fibers are variables*, Pacific J. Math. **203** (2002), no. 1, 161–190.
- [22] T. Kambayashi, *On the absence of nontrivial separable forms of the affine plane*, J. Algebra **35** (1975), no. 449–456.

- [23] W. van der Kulk, *On polynomial rings in two variables*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde (3) **1** (1953), 33–41.
- [24] C. M. Lam, V. Shpilrain, and J-T. Yu, *Recognizing and parametrizing curves isomorphic to a line*, To appear in J. Symbolic Computation (2007).
- [25] M. Miyanishi, *Normal affine subalgebras of a polynomial ring*, Algebra and Topological Theories—to the memory of Dr. Takehiko MIYATA, Kinokuniya (1985), 37–51.
- [26] V. L. Popov, *On actions of $\mathbf{G}_a$ on $\mathbf{A}^n$* , Algebraic groups Utrecht 1986, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1271, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 237–242.
- [27] R. Rentschler, *Opérations du groupe additif sur le plan affine*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **267** (1968), 384–387.
- [28] I. P. Shestakov and U. U. Umirbaev, *The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 1, 197–227.
- [29] V. Shpilrain and J-T. Yu, *Polynomial automorphisms and Gröbner reductions*, J. Algebra **197** (1997), 546–558.
- [30] D. L. Wright, *On the Jacobian Conjecture*, Illinois J. Math **25** (1981), no. 3, 423–440.

LABORATOIRE XLIM, UMR 6172 CNRS-UNIVERSITÉ DE LIMOGES, AVENUE ALBERT-THOMAS
123, 87060, LIMOGES CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: moulay.barkatou@unilim.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES SEMLALIA, CADI AYYAD UNIVERSITY,
P.O BOX 2390, MARRAKECH, MOROCCO

E-mail address: h.elhouari@ucam.ac.ma

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES SEMLALIA, CADI AYYAD UNIVERSITY,
P.O BOX 2390, MARRAKECH, MOROCCO

E-mail address: elkahoui@ucam.ac.ma